

**EPIC 2<sup>nd</sup> Cohort 1<sup>st</sup> Advanced Research Workshop  
Corfu, 23-30.05.01**

**Evaluation**

**Methodology Sessions (Thursday – Saturday)**

1. Which '*specialist*' methodology group (i.e. Thursday's sessions) did you attend?
2. Was the substance of the *specialist* methodology course: very useful, useful, neutral, not very useful? Please explain.
3. Will it help in your future research? Very much – some – very little – not at all. Please explain.
4. Given your prior knowledge of the subject, was the course targeted at the right level? Too high – right – too low
5. Was the substance of the *basic* methodology sessions (i.e. Friday): very useful, useful, neutral, not very useful? Please explain.
6. With respect to questions 2 and 5, please comment briefly on the senior scientists in your methodology group.
7. Would you have preferred more or less background reading? More – Right – Less
8. Were the methodology lectures and discussions in your group prepared adequately by the professors? Adequately – Inadequately – Other? Please explain.
9. How satisfied were you with the division into three methodology streams? Very satisfied – satisfied – neutral – not very satisfied? Please explain
10. Did you find it useful to attend seminars on all three workshop strands? Please explain.
11. Would you prefer more general training on research design (like the plenary talk on Saturday morning)? More – Same amount – Less
12. If you prefer more training, are there any particular aspects that you would like to see covered?
13. Are there any other methodological approaches you would have liked to have included within the course?
14. Do you have any other comments or suggestions to improve the quality of the methodology seminars?

### **Group Sessions (Monday / Tuesday)**

15. Were the *senior scientists'* comments on your presentation: very useful – useful – neutral – not very useful - other? Please explain for each professor.
- a) Will these comments help you in your planning of your future research?
  - b) Please comment briefly on each of the senior researchers' way of chairing the sessions.
  - c) Were the professors respectful of the students? *Please rank individually from 1 (low respect) to 5 (high respect)*
16. Were the *group members'* comments and discussions of your research: very useful – useful – neutral – other? Please explain.
17. Was the size of your group: Too small – right – too big? Please explain
18. Were the discussions: too long – too short – long enough? Please explain.
19. Do you have any other comments about the group sessions?
20. For the next two workshops, please give us the names of scholars who you would like to meet?

### **Forum Talk**

The Forum Talk has been an attempt to illustrate possible linkages between the academic and policy-making communities.

21. With respect to the aim of the Forum talk, did you find R. Villeneuve's presentation: useful – neutral – not very useful? Please explain.
22. In general, do you find the Forum Talk a useful element in the EPIC workshops? Please explain.
23. In your opinion, what could be done to improve this element?

### **Home Supervision**

24. Is your supervisor aware of your participation in EPIC?
25. How does she / he respond to your participation?
26. With respect to any training you receive in your home university, do you think the EPIC workshop has been: complementary – not very complementary – other? Please explain.

### **General**

27. Was the overall workshop a useful experience to help you with your research work? Yes – No – Other? Please explain.

**Organisation**

28. Do you have any comments about any organisational aspects of the workshop?

29. Overall, which aspect did you particularly like / dislike about the workshop?